

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
BILLINGS DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

WILLIAM MAURICE SMITH,

Defendant.

Criminal Docket  
No. 15-15-BLG-SPW  
Court of Appeals  
No. 16-30210

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS

Heard in Snowy Mountains Courtroom  
James F. Battin United States Courthouse  
2601 Second Avenue North  
Billings, Montana  
September 1, 2016  
9:32 a.m.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN P. WATTERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

TINA C. BRILZ, RPR, FCRR  
Official Court Reporter  
United States District Court  
James F. Battin United States Courthouse  
2601 Second Avenue North, Room 4209  
Billings, Montana 59101

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

A P P E A R A N C E S :

PRESENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

MS. LORI A. HARPER SUEK  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY  
2601 2nd Avenue North, Suite 3200  
Billings, Montana 59101

PRESENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, WILLIAM MAURICE SMITH:

MR. LARRY JENT  
Attorney at Law  
WILLIAMS, JENT & DOCKINS  
506 East Babcock Street  
Bozeman, Montana 59715

1 The following proceedings were had:

2

3 THE COURT: Please be seated.

4 Amanda, would you please call the first matter on the  
5 calendar.

6 CLERK OF COURT: Yes, Your Honor.

7 The court has set aside this time to hear the matter of  
8 CR-15-15-BLG-SPW, USA versus William Maurice Smith. This is  
9 the time set for a sentencing.

10 THE COURT: For the record, Lori Suek appears on  
11 behalf of the government. Larry Jent appears on behalf of the  
12 defendant. And the defendant is present.

13 And I have received the presentence investigation report  
14 and reviewed that report. Ms. Suek, have you received and  
15 reviewed that report?

16 MS. SUEK: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Do you have any objections to the report?

18 MS. SUEK: No, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: And Mr. Jent, did you receive and review  
20 the presentence report?

21 MR. JENT: I did, Your Honor. And objections have  
22 been noted by the presentence officer in the addendum, which  
23 you should have.

24 THE COURT: Right. And you had an opportunity to go  
25 through that report with Mr. Smith; correct?

1                   MR. JENT: Yes, I did, briefly, Your Honor.

2                   THE COURT: So, with regard to the objections set  
3 forth in the addendum to the presentence report, Mr. Smith  
4 objects to paragraphs 8, 118, 121, and asserts that a sentence  
5 -- mandatory sentence of life imprisonment is cruel and unusual  
6 punishment. And so, he also objects to paragraph 22 with  
7 regard to the facts set forth in that paragraph. And, of  
8 course, his objection to paragraph 22 does not affect the  
9 guideline calculation or the statutory sentencing parameters.  
10 And so it's noted for the record, but doesn't require a ruling  
11 by the court.

12                  MR. JENT: No, Your Honor, it does not require a  
13 ruling, because sentence under the statute, under 21 U.S.C.  
14 851, is preordained.

15                  THE COURT: Right.

16                  So, those are noted for the record. And will accompany  
17 the presentence report. But as I said, don't require a ruling  
18 by the court this morning.

19                  MR. JENT: Your Honor, could I mention one thing on  
20 the life without parole. And I realize, you know, the bounds  
21 of zealous advocacy require me, I believe, to make the  
22 objections; note that the law is against us and the good faith  
23 rationale for making the objection is the reason contained in  
24 the Law Review article I found.

25                  THE COURT: Okay.

1        Well, and to the extent of that, for purposes of the  
2 record, if you want to take that issue up on appeal or if  
3 Mr. Smith wants to take that issue up on appeal, your objection  
4 is overruled.

5            MR. JENT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

6            THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am, am I entitled to say anything?

7            THE COURT: In a moment, uhm-hum.

8            THE DEFENDANT: All right.

9            THE COURT: So, I'll adopt the presentence report as  
10 written for purposes of calculating the guideline -- the  
11 advisory guideline sentence.

12           And before we go any further, I -- I did receive from  
13 Mr. Smith yesterday, a motion to terminate counsel of record.  
14 And I've reviewed that motion and the arguments set forth in  
15 the attachment to the motion with regard to Mr. Smith's  
16 position about why he should be granted new counsel at this  
17 point.

18           And the gist of the motion, as I understand it, is that  
19 Mr. Smith is requesting that the court grant him -- appoint new  
20 counsel, remove Mr. Jent and appoint new counsel, so that new  
21 counsel can file a motion for a new trial based on ineffective  
22 assistance of counsel.

23           And then, Mr. Smith has set forth, as I stated, numerous  
24 other bases for wanting new counsel. Not only with regard to  
25 Mr. Jent's representation, but one of his prior attorneys,

1 Mr. Pardy. And basically, he lists numerous arguments in  
2 support of his claim that his counsel has been ineffective.

3 With regard to the discussion of the motion for a new  
4 trial, that would be governed by Rule 33 of the Federal Rules  
5 of Criminal Procedure. And a motion for a new trial pursuant  
6 to that rule and Subsection (b)(2), because it's not made on  
7 the grounds of newly discovered evidence, that rule says that  
8 that motion must be filed within 14 days after a guilty verdict  
9 is received.

10 And so, the deadline for a motion for a new trial before  
11 this court was May 13th of this year. So even if the court  
12 were to grant your motion to terminate Mr. Jent for the reason  
13 that then a new attorney could file a motion for a new trial,  
14 the new attorney would have no standing to file a new trial, or  
15 basically, the time has run. And once that time has run, the  
16 court loses jurisdiction over that motion.

17 So, there is nothing to be gained by the court granting  
18 your motion to terminate Mr. Jent today in order for a  
19 different attorney to file a motion for new trial, because that  
20 deadline has passed, and he would -- he or she would not have  
21 the option of filing a motion for a new trial before this  
22 court.

23 I know that, Mr. Smith, you filed a couple notices of  
24 appeal after your conviction by jury. However, as I stated in  
25 my order, first of all, you were represented by counsel, and so

1 I wasn't going to accept pro se motions, but more importantly,  
2 the case was not ripe for appeal. Once I sentence you today,  
3 then a notice of appeal will be ripe, and you can file your  
4 notice of appeal. And you can appeal your conviction, your  
5 sentence, your -- my ruling on your suppression motion, you can  
6 bring up ineffective assistance of counsel, all of those  
7 arguments can be made to the Ninth Circuit.

8 Now, with regard to the bases for your position that your  
9 counsel has been ineffective, many of those will probably not  
10 be able to be resolved by the Ninth Circuit, because they  
11 aren't record-based complaints. They're things that occurred  
12 that would not be reflected in the record, and so when the  
13 Ninth Circuit reviewed the transcript, for example, they  
14 wouldn't -- the court wouldn't have any way to determine  
15 whether or not your counsel was ineffective.

16 But that doesn't mean that you don't have an avenue to  
17 address that, Mr. Smith. And that would be through a 2255  
18 motion, which we call a collateral attack on the sentence,  
19 which doesn't necessarily mean anything to you. But after  
20 sentencing, and once, if you intend to appeal, once your appeal  
21 is resolved, then you have the opportunity to file a 2255  
22 motion setting forth all of the bases for your concerns about  
23 your representation and any other matters that you wished the  
24 court -- and that would be before me -- that you wished this  
25 court to rule on.

1       So, your -- the fact that I'm going to deny your motion to  
2 terminate counsel today, really has no, in my view, very little  
3 prejudice to you, in that, for example, your complaint about  
4 Mr. Pardy, that he did not present the government's original  
5 plea agreement to you in a timely fashion, if you had accepted  
6 the government's original plea agreement where -- at least you  
7 tell me, they were offering you a sentence of five to 40 years,  
8 I would be mandated to have sentenced you to five years, so  
9 you'd still be incarcerated. So it isn't like if I granted  
10 your motion to terminate counsel today, you would be released.  
11 It isn't like if you had accepted the government's plea  
12 agreement or had the opportunity to accept the government's  
13 plea agreement offer, that you would be out of custody, because  
14 you'd be serving the minimum five years, and perhaps more time  
15 than that given your record and the circumstances of the  
16 offense.

17       So, as far as your continued incarceration, in my view,  
18 there isn't any prejudice to you, because you wouldn't be out  
19 anyway.

20       So you have a number of avenues to address the issues that  
21 you have discussed in your motion to terminate counsel of  
22 record; both avenues, a Ninth Circuit appeal, and a 2255  
23 motion, I've tried to explain to you today.

24       And if you file a notice of appeal, then Mr. Jent, I  
25 assume, will withdraw.

1                   MR. JENT: Yes. I'll file a notice of appeal, Your  
2 Honor, and then file a motion to withdraw at the same time.

3                   THE COURT: Right.

4                   And then other counsel can be appointed to represent you.  
5 And I think maybe the Ninth Circuit would be the one appointing  
6 counsel at that point in time, because once the notice of  
7 appeal is filed, I lose jurisdiction. But you'll get different  
8 counsel to help you with your appeal. When you file your 2255  
9 motion, if it has merit, counsel will be appointed to assist  
10 you in that motion.

11                  So, for those reasons, the motion to terminate counsel of  
12 record that you filed is denied.

13                  So, I will summarize the applicable punishments for the  
14 offense under the United States Sentencing Guidelines and the  
15 applicable statute, recognizing that the court really has no  
16 jurisdiction -- or no discretion -- pardon me -- as to what the  
17 sentence in this case has to be.

18                  With regard to the advisory sentencing guidelines, the  
19 adjusted offense level is 36. However, with the Chapter 4  
20 enhancement for the reason that Mr. Smith is a career offender,  
21 pursuant to United States Sentencing Guideline 4B1.1, Sub (b)  
22 (1), the total offense level is 37, because the maximum  
23 statutory punishment is life.

24                  Mr. Smith has 11 criminal history points, so his criminal  
25 history category is V. Except, again, because he is designated

1 a career offender, his criminal history category is VI. Again,  
2 under Sentencing Guideline 4B1.1.

3 The advisory guideline range is 360 months to life  
4 imprisonment, except pursuant to 21 United States Code Section  
5 846 and 21 United States Code Section 841(b)(a) -- capital (A),  
6 and the government's notice pursuant to 21 United States Code  
7 Section 851, the defendant faces a mandatory sentence of life  
8 imprisonment, so the guideline is life.

9 Under the guidelines, Mr. Smith is not eligible for  
10 probation. He is subject to ten years of supervised release on  
11 Counts One and Two and two to five years on Count Three, a fine  
12 of 20,000 to \$20 million, and a special assessment of \$300.

13 And restitution is not applicable under the guidelines.

14 For the charge in Count One of conspiracy to distribute  
15 methamphetamine, in violation of 21 United States Code Section  
16 846, and Count Two, possession with intent to distribute  
17 methamphetamine, in violation of 21 United States Code Section  
18 841(a)(1), the mandatory punishment in this case is life  
19 imprisonment without release.

20 There is a maximum fine of \$20 million, ten years to life  
21 of supervised release, and the \$100 special assessment.

22 For the charge of possession of a firearm in furtherance  
23 of a drug-trafficking crime, in Count Three of the indictment,  
24 in violation of 18 United States Code Section 924(c)(1)(A), the  
25 minimum punishment is five years' imprisonment and the maximum

1 punishment is life imprisonment to run consecutive to all other  
2 counts; five years of supervised release, a \$250,000 fine, and  
3 the \$100 special assessment.

4 Under the statute, Mr. Smith is not eligible for  
5 probation. And again, restitution is not applicable.

6 Ms. Suek, do you agree that's an accurate statement of the  
7 statutory and guideline provisions?

8 MS. SUEK: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Do you also agree, Mr. Jent?

10 MR. JENT: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Ms. Suek, do you wish to be heard with  
12 regard to sentencing?

13 MS. SUEK: Yes, Your Honor.

14 This is a case that certainly doesn't give the government  
15 any pleasure. But it is certainly something that is warranted  
16 and deserved by Mr. Smith.

17 He, obviously, as the court has alluded to, had other  
18 options, but this was the option that he chose. And given his  
19 criminal history and given his conduct in this case, the  
20 statute mandates this sentence for a reason. That reason is  
21 that Mr. Smith is a dangerous career criminal who has spent his  
22 life distributing drugs, particularly methamphetamine,  
23 throughout our community.

24 His conduct in this case was no different than the conduct  
25 that he has engaged in throughout his life, given the repeated

1 drug offenses, felony drug offenses that he's been convicted  
2 of.

3         Unfortunately, there is just a time when the statute, when  
4 Congress, and when the United States just calls the game. And  
5 with respect to Mr. Smith, that time has come today. And we  
6 ask the court to impose the sentence that's mandated, which is  
7 life.

8             THE COURT: Mr. Jent.

9             MR. JENT: Your Honor, the sentence being preordained  
10 by statute, I have nothing further.

11             THE COURT: Mr. Smith, you have an opportunity to  
12 address the court if you wish to speak. Please stand; use the  
13 microphone.

14             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15             Your Honor, I didn't even get a chance to review the PSR  
16 report with Mr. Jent. And I was hoping that maybe we could  
17 just continue the sentencing and let me review the PSR report,  
18 so I can make my own objections. I didn't get to make any  
19 objections. The objections that were made were Mr. Jent's  
20 objections. I didn't get even a chance to review the PSR.

21             And I would just like to address a few things here.

22             My attorney, he's never even once ever answered his phone  
23 for me. Never called my witnesses to testify for me. Never  
24 let me testify on my own behalf. And never listened to my side  
25 of the story. And so I ask that the court to please grant a

1 continuance so I can attest my innocence.

2 With counsel, he wasn't willing to even investigate and  
3 look into the facts weighing in my favor.

4 I thought legally that I was entitled to at least seven  
5 days to review my PSR report. And be furnished with a copy of  
6 it, which I wasn't.

7 I do know that -- I mean -- I thought it would be a  
8 conflict of interest that Mr. Jent is also campaigning for  
9 Attorney General, too. So how could his mindset possibly have  
10 given me a fair chance in this matter?

11 I feel like I was unfairly tried. And I feel like the  
12 counsel, his best interests didn't lie with me, the defendant.

13 He also withheld, like, key evidence in this case from me,  
14 to even -- to be able to get a defense.

15 I feel there has been a gross injustice here. And I would  
16 like a little time to set things straight.

17 Prior to trial, the fact that there was no communication  
18 from counsel and myself, I think it was inexcusable. And when  
19 he finally showed two days before trial, I asked him to go  
20 ahead and take the plea offer, and he told me: "No. We're  
21 going to trial."

22 And the man wasn't -- he was nowhere ready.

23 I don't know, I just -- and I beg that you don't go with  
24 the government's stand here. And I just -- I throw myself at  
25 the mercy of the court, ma'am. Thank you.

1                   THE COURT: Okay.

2                   Mr. Jent, did you provide Mr. Smith a copy of the  
3 presentence report?

4                   MR. JENT: I did not copy the presentence report for  
5 him, Your Honor, because we're not supposed to copy them. And  
6 I went into the jail yesterday to discuss it with him. I  
7 didn't go to Shelby, because it's getting so hard when you go  
8 to Shelby, and particularly in this case, where there's really  
9 nothing to it. I went to Shelby one time with the presentence  
10 officer, and we did the interview, and I discussed with  
11 Mr. Smith, as did the presentence officer, that the result had  
12 to be life without parole, because of the statute.

13                  I went in to see him last night and explained that again.  
14 And went through the report with him briefly. And explained  
15 what was in it. Read certain portions. But I didn't copy it  
16 because the instructions we have are: "Do not copy. Do not  
17 distribute." That's why -- and so I don't do that.

18                  As far as the discovery, he's talking about the same  
19 thing. I discussed discovery with him pretty endlessly. I  
20 went a couple of trips to Basin. And also, I called back to  
21 Basin, and the Basin people were kind enough to get Mr. Smith  
22 to the phone when I needed him.

23                  On the other hand, contact with clients at Shelby remains,  
24 and I've made this objection before this honorable court, very  
25 difficult and burdensome. You're limited -- if you're lucky,

1 sometimes you get 40, 45 minutes, and you can squeeze another  
2 15 minutes out. So I didn't see any reason in a result where  
3 we knew what the result would be to spend -- and I'm closer  
4 than Billings lawyers. It's still over five hours for me to  
5 get there, and it's really a two-day trip. I've done it a  
6 couple times in a day, which I don't recommend. So I decided  
7 to wait till he got down here.

8 I called Federal Defenders earlier in the week to try to  
9 find out where Mr. Smith was, and they couldn't give me an  
10 answer. They thought he was still in Shelby, so I waited till  
11 yesterday to come down. And that's when I discussed it.

12 But this is a case where the presentence report, as the  
13 court noticed in the objections, really, there's nothing for  
14 the court to decide in this case.

15 We did take some time, though, when Toni and I went up  
16 there, and this is important, I think, to hear, Your Honor, for  
17 sentencing and disposition, when you look at the medical things  
18 that are on the report, there's a significant medical history.  
19 I hope you will assign Mr. Smith to a facility -- and probation  
20 knows all about these things -- where he might get the type of  
21 both mental and physical health treatment he needs. And we  
22 spent some time to presentence -- not only going through that,  
23 but she got the records. And you'll see she got the records  
24 from St. V's and other places around town, so I think that  
25 might be helpful if you'd consider that.

1       And other than that, I would simply refer the court to the  
2 record of the trial.

3           THE COURT: Okay.

4       Well, your concerns are noted, Mr. Smith. But your  
5 request for a continuance is denied today.

6       That's kind of been the history of your case, Mr. Smith.  
7 You know, how many times we've got to court for the suppression  
8 hearing, and you decided that day that counsel was ineffective  
9 and we continued that multiple times. Mr. Jent is your fourth  
10 attorney. We had seven trial settings because of your concerns  
11 with regard to counsel. So we're going to proceed today.

12       The court has really no discretion with regard to the  
13 sentence that it must impose today on Counts One and Two.  
14 Congress has determined that in the circumstances of a career  
15 offender such as yourself, Mr. Smith, and your criminal history  
16 and the circumstances of this offense, that a life sentence  
17 without any possibility of release is appropriate.

18       And apparently, Congress has determined that that sentence  
19 reflects the seriousness of the crime, should promote respect  
20 in the law, if not for you, for others, to provide a just  
21 punishment for the offense, will deter criminal conduct by you,  
22 certainly, perhaps by others. Will, obviously, protect the  
23 public from any future crime by you. And you will have the  
24 opportunity to participate in some programming. You've  
25 requested the R-DAP program for purposes of your

1 rehabilitation.

2 I presided over the trial. There was more than sufficient  
3 evidence for the jury to determine beyond a reasonable doubt  
4 that you are guilty of these crimes of which you have been  
5 charged and convicted. The sentence mandated by Congress is  
6 such, because of your repeated criminal conduct. I mean, the  
7 statute, the 851 statute, contemplates this kind of a sentence  
8 for someone who has had two prior felony drug convictions or  
9 violent crime convictions.

10 And I would note, based on the information that the  
11 government filed pursuant to 21 United States Code Section 851,  
12 that you have had six prior felony drug convictions.

13 And that notice was filed on March 28th, 2016, prior to  
14 trial, and no response was filed by you. And there isn't any  
15 reason for the court to believe that the convictions set forth  
16 in that information are not correct.

17 THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am --

18 THE COURT: You had a difficult childhood. There's  
19 no doubt about that. And lived on the streets at a pretty  
20 early age, unfortunately. You then adopted that street  
21 lifestyle, and as Ms. Suek has said, that you have pretty much  
22 spent your life distributing drugs in the Billings community  
23 and engaging in criminal conduct.

24 And apparently, as I said, Congress has determined that an  
25 individual such as yourself, at some point in time, should be

1 removed from society, and society permanently protected from  
2 you.

3 And so that is the reason for the mandated life sentence.

4 So, of course, as I stated, it will accomplish the  
5 purposes of sentencing. There is no need for the court to go  
6 through any supervised release terms, as that would be moot.

7 And with regard to the presentence report, of course, it's  
8 informative to the court and counsel, but in this kind of a  
9 situation where the factors set forth in the presentence report  
10 otherwise might be important, in this situation, given that the  
11 court's got no discretion, the presentence report is not that  
12 important as far as sentencing. It will be useful for the  
13 Bureau of Prisons to determine a facility that will be most  
14 suitable for you, Mr. Smith, given your PTSD issues and your  
15 health issues related to the shooting years ago.

16 And so, it will help the Bureau of Prisons to determine  
17 what is an appropriate placement for you.

18 So, having considered all of the information and the  
19 evidence presented at trial, including the presentence report  
20 here today, it is the judgment of the court that you be  
21 committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons  
22 for a term of life on Counts One and Two, and for a term of  
23 five years' imprisonment on Count Three, to run consecutively  
24 to Counts One and Two.

25 It is recommended that you participate in the R-DAP

1 program, if eligible, and any mental health program or  
2 vocational program, if eligible.

3 I find that you do not have the ability to pay a fine.

4 And I hereby waive the fine.

5 You are, however, ordered to pay to the United States a  
6 special assessment of \$100 per count of conviction for a total  
7 of \$300, which shall be due immediately.

8 During the period of your incarceration, you are ordered  
9 to pay criminal monetary penalty payments at the rate of not  
10 less than \$25 per quarter. Those payments shall be made  
11 through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility  
12 Program to the clerk of this court.

13 And I would note that there has been a preliminary  
14 forfeiture order entered on August 11th, 2016. And I would  
15 assume that a final order of forfeiture will be requested once  
16 the time has run.

17 MS. SUEK: That is correct, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 So, any legal objection to the sentence with regard -- or  
20 Ms. Suek?

21 MS. SUEK: No, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Any legal objection, Mr. Jent?

23 MR. JENT: No, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, you, as I indicated before,  
25 have the right to appeal my ruling on your suppression motion,

1 your convictions at trial, this sentence, you have a right to  
2 bring up your concerns with regard to ineffective assistance in  
3 your appeal. And then once that appeal is resolved, then if  
4 all of your issues have not been dealt with, you will have the  
5 right to file a 2255 motion to this court with regard to any  
6 concerns that you have.

7 So, notice of appeal will have to be filed within 14 days  
8 of today's date, which Mr. Jent knows. And he's indicated to  
9 the court that he will file a notice of appeal on your behalf,  
10 Mr. Smith.

11 So, you are remanded to the custody of the United States  
12 Marshals to carry out the judgment of the court.

13 And we're adjourned.

14 (The proceedings in this matter were adjourned at  
15 10:03 a.m.)

16

17

18

19 C E R T I F I C A T E

20

21 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from  
22 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

23 /s/ Tina C. Brilz, RPR, FCRR

24 Dated this 6th day of December, 2016.

25